

This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations  
and is not a part of the Official Record

## **BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES**

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

**IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.**

**As rescanning documents *will not* correct images,  
please do not report the images to the  
Image Problem Mailbox.**



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/893,737	06/28/2001	Paul O. Sheppard	00-41	3361

7590

09/03/2002

Robyn Adams  
ZymoGenetics, Inc.  
1201 Eastlake Avenue East  
Seattle, WA 98102

EXAMINER

SMITH, CAROLYN L

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1631

DATE MAILED: 09/03/2002

h

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Office Action Summary**

Application No.

09/893,737

Applicant(s)

SHEPPARD ET AL.

Examiner

Carolyn L Smith

Art Unit

1631

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on \_\_\_\_.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☒ Claim(s) 1-19 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120**

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

**Attachment(s)**

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) \_\_\_\_.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

Art Unit: 1631

### **DETAILED ACTION**

The art unit designated for this application has changed. Applicant(s) are hereby informed that future correspondence should be directed to Art Unit 1631.

#### ***Election/Restrictions***

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-6, 11, and 18, drawn to polypeptides, classified in class 530, subclasses 300 and 350. If this Group is elected then the below summarized sequence election is also required. Also, if this Group is elected then the below summarized species and sub-species elections are also required.
- II. Claims 7-9, and 12-14, drawn to polynucleotides, classified in class 536, subclass 23.1. If this Group is elected then the below summarized sequence election is also required.
- III. Claims 10 and 15, drawn to a method of producing a polypeptide, classified in class 435, subclass 69.1. If this Group is elected then the below summarized sequence election is also required.
- IV. Claim 16, drawn to a computer-readable medium encoded with a data structure, classified in class 211, subclass 41.12. If this Group is elected then the below summarized sequence election is also required.
- V. Claim 17, drawn to an antibody, classified in class 530, subclass 387.1. If this Group is elected then the below summarized sequence election is also required.

Art Unit: 1631

VI. Claim 19, drawn to a method for detecting protein secretion from a cell or tissue, classified in class 436, subclass 86. If this Group is elected then the below summarized sequence election is also required.

**Sequence Election Requirement Applicable to Groups I-VI:**

In addition, each Group detailed above reads on patentably distinct sequences. Each sequence is patentably distinct because they are unrelated sequences, and a further restriction is applied to each Group. For an elected Group drawn to amino acid/polypeptide sequences, the Applicants must further elect a single amino acid/polypeptide sequence. For an elected Group drawn to nucleotide sequences, the Applicants must elect a single nucleic acid sequence (See MPEP 803.04). It is noted that the multitude of sequence submissions of examination has resulted in an undue search burden if more than one nucleic acid sequence is elected, thus making the previous waiver for up to 10 elected nucleic acid sequences effectively impossible to reasonably implement.

MPEP 803.04 states:

Nucleotide sequences encoding different proteins are structurally distinct chemical compounds and are unrelated to one another. These sequences are thus deemed to normally constitute independent and distinct inventions with the meaning of 35 U.S.C. 121. Absent evidence to the contrary, each such nucleotide sequence is presumed to represent an independent and distinct invention, subject to a restriction requirement pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 121 and 37 CFR 1.141 et seq. Examination will be restricted to

only the elected sequence. It is additionally noted that this sequence election requirement is a restriction requirement and not a specie election requirement.

**Specie Election Requirement for Group I:**

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Specie A: a polypeptide which is linked to a second polypeptide

Specie B: a polypeptide which is not linked to a second polypeptide

If Specie A is elected:

Subspecie A: a second polypeptide which is a maltose binding protein

Subspecie B: a second polypeptide which is an immunoglobulin constant region

Subspecie C: a second polypeptide which is a polyhistidine tag

Subspecie D: a second polypeptide which is a peptide as shown in SEQ ID NO.: 329

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-6, 11, and 18 in Group I is generic to the above species. This distinctness or independence of a second polypeptide versus no second polypeptide as well as a maltose binding protein versus an immunoglobulin constant region versus a polyhistidine tag versus a peptide as shown in SEQ ID NO.: 329 is described below.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is

allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

The inventions of Groups I and VI; Group I (second polypeptide specie, maltose binding protein subspecie); Group I (second polypeptide specie, immunoglobulin constant region subspecie); Group I (second polypeptide specie, polyhistidine tag subspecie); Group I (second polypeptide specie, peptide in SEQ ID NO.: 329 subspecie); Group I (no second polypeptide specie); Groups II and III; Group IV; and Group V are independent inventions because they are directed to different chemical and entity types regarding the critical limitations therein. For Groups I and VI, the critical feature is a

Art Unit: 1631

polypeptide. For Group I (second polypeptide specie, maltose binding protein subspecie), the critical feature is a maltose binding protein as a linked polypeptide. For Group I (second polypeptide specie, immunoglobulin constant region subspecie), the critical feature is an immunoglobulin constant region as a linked polypeptide. For Group I (second polypeptide specie, polyhistidine tag subspecie), the critical feature is a polyhistidine tag as a linked polypeptide. For Group I (second polypeptide specie, peptide in SEQ ID NO.: 329 subspecie), the critical feature is a SEQ ID NO.: 329 peptide as a linked polypeptide. For Group I (no second polypeptide specie), the critical feature is no linked polypeptide. For Group II and III, the critical feature is a polynucleotide. For Group IV, the critical feature is a computer-readable medium. For Group V, the critical feature is an antibody. The completely separate chemical and entity types of the invention Groups are often separately characterized and published in literature, thus adding to the search burden if all Groups were examined together. Also, processing that may connect two Groups does not prevent them from being considered distinct because enough processing can result in the production of any composition from another composition as long as the processing is not limited in occurrences such as subtractions, additions, and enzymatic action. Thus, the nine Groupings: [I and VI]; [I (second polypeptide specie, maltose binding protein subspecie)]; [I (second polypeptide specie, immunoglobulin constant region subspecie)]; [I (second polypeptide specie, polyhistidine tag subspecie)]; [I (second polypeptide specie, peptide in SEQ ID NO.: 329 subspecie)]; [I (no second polypeptide specie)]; [II and III]; IV; and V are independent and/or distinct invention types for restriction purposes.



Inventions in Groups I and VI are related as product and the process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP §806.05(h)). In the instant case the polypeptide of Group I may be utilized in distinct usages as needed in Group VI for a method of detecting a protein secretion from a cell or tissue, or alternatively, in cell growth inhibition studies. All of these usages are distinct as requiring distinct and different functions thereof without overlapping search due to different subject matter. This lack of overlapping searches documents the undue search burden if they were searched together.

Inventions in Groups II and III are related as product and the process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP §806.05(h)). In the instant case the polynucleotide of Group II may be utilized in distinct usages as needed in Group III for a method of producing a polypeptide, or alternatively, in antisense therapy. All of these usages are distinct as requiring distinct and different functions thereof without overlapping search due to different subject matter. This lack of overlapping searches documents the undue search burden if they were searched together.

Art Unit: 1631

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technical Center 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Technical Center 1600 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1988), 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993), and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993) (See 37 CFR §1.6(d)). The CM1 Fax Center number is either (703) 308-4242 or (703) 305-3014.


Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carolyn Smith, whose telephone number is (703) 308-6043. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 9 A.M. to 5:30 P.M.

Art Unit: 1631

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Woodward, can be reached on (703) 308-4028.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to Patent Analyst Tina Plunkett whose telephone number is (703) 305-3524 or to the Technical Center receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

August 29, 2002

  
ARDIN H. MARSCHEL  
PRIMARY EXAMINER